

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

ALEX RIVERA,	)	
	)	Civil Action No.
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	
	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
MTI BATHS, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
_____	)	

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff Alex Rivera (Plaintiff”) and files this Complaint against Defendant MTI Baths, Inc. (“Defendant”), and shows the following:

**I. Nature of Complaint**

1.

Plaintiff brings this action to obtain full and complete relief and to redress the unlawful employment practices described herein.

2.

This action seeks declaratory relief, liquidated and actual damages, along with attorney’s fees and costs, for Defendant’s failure to pay federally mandated overtime wages to Plaintiff in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as

amended, 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq.* (hereinafter the “FLSA”) during Plaintiff’s employment with Defendant.

## **II. Jurisdiction and Venue**

3.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

4.

Defendant is a Georgia nonprofit corporation and is subject to jurisdiction in this Court. In addition, a substantial part of the acts and omissions that give rise to Plaintiff’s claims occurred in this district. Accordingly, venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

## **III. Parties and Facts**

5.

Plaintiff is a citizen of the United States of America, and is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court.

6.

From on or about October 2020 to December 2021, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a customer service representative and paid on a salary basis.

7.

Plaintiff was an “employee” of Defendant, as that term has been defined by the FLSA.

8.

From October 2020 to December 2021, Plaintiff’s primary duty was the performance of non-exempt work, specifically discretion lacking customer service tasks.

9.

From October 2020 to December 2021, Plaintiff was paid on a salary basis, without overtime compensation, calculated at one and one half times his regular rate, for the hours he worked in excess of 40 in work weeks.

10.

Defendant is an “employer” as that term has been defined by the FLSA.

11.

From October 2020 to December 2021, Plaintiff regularly worked an amount of time that was more than 40 hours in given workweeks and was not paid the overtime wage differential for hours he worked over 40 in workweeks.

12.

Defendant's gross revenues from commercial activities exceed \$500,000 per year and Defendant's gross revenues exceeded \$500,000 in 2019, 2020 and 2021.

13.

Defendant knew or had reason to know that Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of 40 hours in workweeks without overtime compensation.

14.

Defendant is governed by and subject to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §204 and §207.

15.

Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff the overtime wage differential required by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §207 on occasions that Plaintiff worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek.

16.

Following Plaintiff obtaining counsel to pursue his overtime claims, Defendant remitted overtime pay to Plaintiff, but has refused to pay liquidated damages.

s

**Count I**

**Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act.**

17.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if set forth herein.

18.

Defendant has violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §207, by failing to pay overtime wages for time that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours in given workweeks.

19.

Pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216, Plaintiff brings this lawsuit to recover unpaid liquidated damages in an equal amount to his overtime damages, attorneys' fees, and the costs of this litigation.

20.

Defendant knew or showed reckless disregard for the fact its actions, policies, and/or omissions violated the FLSA.

**IV. Prayer for Relief**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- (A) Grant Plaintiff a trial by jury as to all triable issues of fact;
- (B) Enter judgment awarding Plaintiff unpaid wages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §207, FLSA § 6, 29 U.S.C. § 206(d), liquidated damages as provided by 29 U.S.C. §216, pre-judgment interest on unpaid wages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216, and court costs, expert witness fees, reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by 29 U.S.C. §216, and all other remedies allowed under the FLSA; and,
- (C) Grant declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff's rights have been violated and that Defendant's violations of the FLSA were willful; and
- (D) Permit Plaintiff to amend his Complaint to add state law claims if necessary;
- (E) Award Plaintiff such further and additional relief as may be just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

This 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022.

**BARRETT & FARAHANY**

/s/ V. Severin Roberts

V. Severin Roberts

Georgia Bar No. 940504

Attorney for Plaintiff

1100 Peachtree Street  
Suite 500  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
(404) 214-0120  
(404) 214-0125 facsimile  
severin@justiceatwork.com